

~~RESTRICTED~~

21 July 1948

MEMORANDUM FOR: Messrs. Allen W. Dulles
Mathias P. Correa
William H. Jackson

SUBJECT: Hanson Baldwin's Article on "Intelligence--I",
New York Times, 20 July 1948

1. You may be interested in my attached memorandum, which very briefly comments on the accuracy of the statements made in Hanson Baldwin's first article on intelligence, which appeared in the New York Times on 20 July 1948.

2. Although I have not yet heard any direct repercussions of this article, I am afraid that it can only cause harm to the Survey Group. Because of Hanson Baldwin's membership on the Eberstadt Committee, which is looking into the national security organization, including CIA, I think we may have to reconsider our present policy of allowing Bross and Southerland, who are working for the Eberstadt Committee, to see some of our materials. Otherwise, there might always be the danger that leaks, alleged or real, would be attributed to us, and we might be charged (rightly or wrongly) with using the press to support our work. I know that the desirability of Baldwin's membership on the Eberstadt Committee has already been questioned in the Military Establishment because of an article by him which appeared in Armed Force some weeks ago and allegedly reflected some of the current matters before the Committee.

Robert Blum
Robert Blum

Enclosure - 1

~~RESTRICTED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP86B00269R000500050036-2

21 July 1948

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Hanson Baldwin's Article on "Intelligence—I", New York Times,
20 July 1948

1. Following are comments, point by point, on the first article in the series which, according to Baldwin, results from "a careful survey of our intelligence activities".
 - a. Inter-agency friction.
Comment: Correct.
 - b. Duplication, overlapping, and empire building.
Comment: Probably correct.
 - c. Second-rate personnel.
Comment: Probably correct.
 - d. Depreciation in quantity and quality of intelligence as compared to war years.
Comment: This statement would be difficult to support, in view of the marked difference between wartime and peacetime conditions.
 - e. Fragmentary and conflicting estimates.
Comment: This is only partly true, and in the case of atomic energy, scarcity of information may not be the result of our intelligence weakness.
 - f. Reference to several intelligence fiascoes climaxed by Bogota.
Comment: Every failure accurately to predict an event cannot be called a fiasco and, in particular, to call the Bogota affair a fiasco is an exaggeration and misinterpretation.
 - g. Changes in CIA as a result of the Survey.
Comment: Contrary to Baldwin's statement, there have been no changes in CIA as a result of the survey.
 - h. Reorganization of OCD.
Comment: This had nothing to do with the Survey. Last fall the Executive for Inspection and Security, CIA, made a detailed survey of OCD and the changes made this year [redacted] were the direct result of that internal CIA survey.

~~RESTRICTED~~

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP86B00269R000500050036-2

25X1

~~RESTRICTED~~

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 :
: BY ~~RGIA~~ :
: B00269R000500050036-2

1. Changes in administration and management. Comment: The only change made here has been the transfer of the reference center to the new Office of Collection and Dissemination. This had nothing to do with the Survey.
2. The conclusion from the above analysis is that Baldwin's facts are inaccurate ^{some of} and his interpretations misleading. By putting the Survey Group in the front of his campaign to improve intelligence and by attributing to the Survey Group an influence which it has not had, Baldwin will probably have added considerable fuel to the fire of CIA's resentment against the Survey Group.

Robert Blum

RB:cc

~~RESTRICTED~~

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 :
: BY ~~RGIA~~ :
: B00269R000500050036-2

THE NEW YORK TIMES, TUESDAY, JULY 20, 1948

Intelligence—I

One of Weakest Links in Our Security, Survey Shows—Omissions, Duplications

By HANSON W. BALDWIN

America's first line of defense in the atomic age, a world-wide intelligence service, is today one of the weakest links in our national security. The survey, a continuing one, which will end with a report by next January, is starting now only with the Central Intelligence Agency. This is the conclusion of this correspondent after a careful and accurate investigation of the agency's relationship with the intelligence services of the Army, Air Force, and Navy Departments and most of our other intelligence authorities.

The evidences are legion and some changes already have been made, and others perhaps of a sweeping nature are predicted. Considerable shifts of personnel, particularly in the Central Intelligence Agency, have occurred, or are occurring, although some of them preceded the Dulles commission's appointment.

Changes Going On in CIA
There is unnecessary duplication and overlapping; at the same time, there are serious omissions of intelligence, and there is considerable expensive "empire-building." Apparently as a direct result of the Dulles inquiry, some strange "linkings" have been going on in the Central Intelligence Agency. Last year, coincident with the transfer of its director, the office of collection and dissemination of six principal offices in the agency, was abolished. Today, it has been restored under another head and is bigger than ever.

After the Dulles survey started a considerable section of the office of administration and management, a jarringly large and overstuffed office which was supposed to shuffle paper work for the benefit of the operating forces but had become in some ways the tail that wagged the dog, was seemingly "eliminated." But the elimination, it has now developed, merely involved the paper shift of a large number of personnel to the newly reconstituted office of collection and dissemination, with no net reduction in employees.

At the same time some of those frustration and discouragement in the intelligence picture, particularly among some intelligence personnel, the CIA, who were being studied from CIA and Army C-2 of some of the best civilian personnel, and Dulles commission — have apparently started an attempted "backfire" against the Dulles group in an attempt to discredit it. Mr. Dulles' survey, in other words, already has struck sparks, but if it is to achieve its purpose, it must inevitably lead in the opinion of those who have studied our intelligence agencies closely — to major personnel changes in our intelligence agencies, to some re-organizational and perhaps functional modifications, and to insistence upon better cooperation between the services.

Allen W. Dulles, who occupied a prominent role in Switzerland with the Office of Strategic Services during the war; William H. Jackson, New York lawyer and wartime intelligence officer; and Mathias F. Correa, former OSS official, have been reviewing our intelligence services at the request of the White House. Secretary of Defense For-

BEST COPY

Available